

Francesco Salvestrini

Giovanni Villani and the Aetiological Myth of Tuscan Cities*

[A stampa in *The Medieval Chronicle II* (Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on the Medieval Chronicle, Universiteit Utrecht, Centre for Medieval Studies, Driebergen [NL], 16-21 July 1999), a cura di E. Kooper, Amsterdam/New York 2002, pp. 199-211 © dell'autore - Distribuito in formato digitale da "Reti Medievali"].

Giovanni Villani was undoubtedly one of the most important Florentine chroniclers of the communal era¹. His lifetime spanned the most dynamic period of Florentine medieval history. From what we can discover about his biography (especially from his chronicle), it is clear that he was very much a typical well-to-do Florentine merchant, and his literary work reflected his "bourgeois" vision of his city's and world's history.

Born in Florence no later than 1276, the young Villani formed an association with the Peruzzi company, one of the leading trading and money-lending firms in the Tuscan city at the end of the thirteenth century. In 1300 he became one of the shareholders in this important group, at the same time that he joined the *Arte del Cambio* (Bankers' Guild).

During the same year he went to Rome for the Jubilee as an agent of his company at the Papal court. Between 1302 and 1307 he travelled widely in Flanders, where he looked after the interests of his company's branch office in Bruges. Following a common path for Italian merchants of his day, he served an itinerant apprenticeship in international commerce and banking until, in his early thirties, he had acquired the means to establish himself in his native city and to devote himself to civic affairs. In 1307 he returned to Florence and, between 1316 and 1341, followed a public career during which he served as Prior (a member of the *Signoria*, the supreme magistracy of republican city government) in 1316, 1321-22 and in 1328.

By 1308 he was no longer a shareholder in the Peruzzi bank and, around 1322, moved his investments to the rival firm of the Buonaccorsi. During the first thirty years of the century Villani was a successful man of affairs. In the last decade of his life, however, he saw the sudden collapse of his fortunes and social position.

In 1338 the bankruptcy of the Buonaccorsi ruined him and brought him the further humiliation of imprisonment for debt. The subsequent failure of the Bardi and Peruzzi companies, which struck a crippling blow to the commercial life of Florence, additionally embarrassed his financial position. The temporary political eclipse of the Popular Party² in Florence during the tyranny of the Duke of Athens, Walter of Brienne (1342-43), and the subsequent installation of a more democratic regime, effectively removed Villani from the active participation in civic affairs. The Black Death claimed him as one of its victims in 1348.

Villani authored a long *Cronica* (Chronicle), which was divided into twelve books (thirteen in the most recent critical edition)³ and written during the 1320's-1330's. This work set Florence and its history against the background of world history, following the example of the Mendicant Orders'

* My best thanks to Caroline M. Fisher who read the English text and gave me important advice.

¹ The 'communal era' is the period (ca. XI-XIV century) during which cities, towns and villages of North-Central Italy ruled themselves as a city-states. These republican governments remained, in the cities, until the political advent of seigniorial *régimes* and regional states, and in small centres until the beginning of the domination by greater urban communes.

² In Italian communes the *Pars Populi* was, in a broad sense, the party of artisans, merchants, trade leaders and new rich people who had their political and economic basis in guild organisations. It often had a territorial, ward and religious origin, and was the opposite of the *Societas Militum*, that is the party of ancient aristocratic families which founded their social eminence in lordship over rural populations, in landholding, in military traditions and in the use of violence (*magnati*). By 1250 the *Pars Populi* acquired the supremacy in many communal cities, and turned out the *magnati* from urban rules.

³ Giovanni Villani. *Nuova Cronica*. Ed. G. Porta. 3 vols. Parma. 1991 (=Villani). 111 manuscripts of the text are still available, Guenée, B. (1980). *Histoire et culture historique dans l'Occident médiéval*. Paris: 250.

historiography⁴. In it the chronicler revived characteristics identified with the early universal medieval chronicle (*Weltchronistik*), telling events in Florence, Italy and Europe from the Tower of Babel to 1346.

Only six books described events from the writer's own lifetime. Books number one to six, in particular, were devoted to ancient and less recent history. Villani's originality rests in his blending of local tradition with historical narrative, and is coloured by the typical view of an international merchant and an experienced financier.

G. Porta's recent critical edition of Villani's *Cronica* facilitates an analysis of this work. In addition, several studies have analysed the author's writing technique, his purposes and style, the reading public to whom the narration was addressed, and the later transmission of the famous text.

In this paper I will examine some of the initial chapters of the work, including selections from books I, II, III and IV, which scholars have traditionally considered less reliable and, unfairly, less interesting. In fact, the author did not write this section of the *Cronica* based on his own experience; rather, he drew upon the writings of previous chroniclers, classic historians and ancient literary sources of the Florentine tradition. In it, however, the author reported his aims in writing the whole narration and gave some keys by which to interpret the story of Florence. He introduced the origins of the city as an important preface to the later books relating contemporary events.

The first and second books, the most "mythical" ones of the whole *Cronica*, were functional and completely consistent with the following development of the historical text. In them the aetiological myths of Tuscan cities rose again, foretold and defined the outlook of the region's history as an epiphany of Florentine greatness. The writer himself expressed it in the opening chapter of the first book: «because our [the Florentines] beginnings go back a very long way, the recounting in brief of other ancient histories seems to me necessary to our undertaking; and it may be diverting, useful and of comfort to our citizens that are and will be to consider that, in being virtuous and of great achievement, they are the descendants of a noble progeny and virtuous people, such as were the ancient, good Trojans and brave and noble Romans»⁵.

Furthermore, Villani gave his reasons for writing in the second prologue of his *Cronica*, a later preface posed in the middle of the ninth book that reflected the deeper self-consciousness of the chronicler now far advanced in his work. He asserted that in this text he drew the inspiration for telling his city's history from his stay in Rome in 1300. The fundamental motivation was his wish to provide Florence with an ancient narrative that would explain the city's origins and describe its development just as the ancient authors, especially Virgil, Lucan, Valerius and Paul Orosius, did for Rome. Following the example of classical historians, he meant to make Florence's past familiar to the Florentines and to explain to them how the little settlement situated on the Arno's banks became one of the richest and most populated centres of Christian Europe. Florence – he wrote –, «daughter and creature of Rome», «was rising and about to perform great things like Rome in her decline»⁶.

In this statement Villani adopted the traditional motif of the new Rome. However, his association of the rising Florence with the *Urbs* seems deeply original. In fact he did not think of the Tuscan metropolis as the descendant of the Imperial Eternal city but, rather, as the progeny of the republican city-state.

He wanted to tell the story of a community's growth that showed itself in economic prosperity, commercial richness and communal institutions.

What therefore were the noble origins of Florence? They must be seen in the mythical paleo-genesis of the neighbouring town of Fiesole. Fiesole, in its turn, derived from the mythical cosmogony and was first the ancestor and then the enemy of Roman *Florentia*.

⁴ See Von Den Brincken, A.-D. (1986). 'Inter spinas principum terrenorum. Annotazioni sulle summe e sui compendi storici dei Mendicanti.' In *Aspetti della letteratura latina nel secolo XIII*. Edd. C. Leonardi and G. Orlandi. Perugia/Firenze: 77-103.

⁵ Villani. I, I: 3-5, in the translation of L. Green (1972). *Chronicle into History. An Essay on the Interpretation of History in Florentine Fourteenth-Century Chronicles*. Cambridge: 14.

⁶ Villani. IX, XXXVI: 58-59.

According to tradition, Fiesole was founded after the chaos resulting from the Tower of Babel and from the division of the world into three parts (Asia, Africa and Europe). The town was built by Attalans, a descendant of Noah's son, Japheth, and father of Dardanus, the founder of Troy. Attalans erected the first settlement of Fiesole on the advice of the astrologer Appollino, and the city's name derived from its position as the oldest city (*Fia Sola*) of the third part of the world known as *Europia*. Then he bequeathed it to his son Italus; and Fiesole remained the beacon of Italy until Rome's foundation⁷.

As a result of the destruction of the Dardanian Troy by the Achaei, the Trojan Aeneas came to Italy to rejoin his ancient Fiesolan mother. His descendants gave rise to Rome⁸. The progeny of Attalans and the Fiesolan origin served as the basis for the Trojan heritage of the Eternal *Urbs*.

At the time of the Catiline conspiracy, when Rome was ruled by the "Consuls", the brave but tyrannical leader of the famous plot against the Senate, a man whom Villani associated with the nobles (*magnati*) of his contemporary Florence, found refuge in Fiesole, which was historically the "anti-Rome", even though Rome had Fiesolan origins⁹.

In Fiesole the followers of the Roman rebel who was killed in a battle in the plain of Pistoia were besieged by the consul Metello and his captain Fiorino¹⁰. After having conducted six years of an unfruitful siege, Fiorino, a nobleman from the *Urbs*, was murdered by the Fiesolans during a sally¹¹; but Julius Caesar, a representative of Rome, arrived and defeated the rebellious town within a couple of years¹². At the end of the siege and after the destruction of Fiesole, the great Caesar decided to build a new city which owed its loyalty to Rome and, at the same time, had to be a reflection of it and of its republican rule. He founded the new town on the soil where Fiorino died. There, from the fecund blood of this man, the famous sword-shaped white lily appeared and became the symbol for the new city. That *civitas* was originally called «*la piccola Roma*» (the 'Little Rome') and then, in the eponymous hero's honour, *Floria* or *Florentia*¹³.

Nevertheless the foundation and the subsequent survival of Florence were linked to the destruction and the ritual assimilation of Fiesole. In fact, five-hundred years later, Totila, «*flagellum Dei*» ('the scourge of God'), mistaken for Attila in Martin Polonus' Universal Chronicle and in Florentine tradition, destroyed Florence and other Italian cities. The despot, a new manifestation of Catiline, was in Villani's vision a prefiguration of the "tyrants" chronologically near to or contemporary with him, such as Manfred, son of the emperor Frederick the Second, or Walter of Brienne. Not by mistake Totila immediately decided to rebuild Fiesole and to fortify it on the Florentine side¹⁴. In the narration of Villani and in his Florentine sources Totila was another threat to the *florentina libertas*, the last emblem of the apocalyptic regenerative ability of the menacing and unconquerable Fiesolan *populus*. The struggle between the symbols of good and evil, between the Florentine *romanitas* and the Fiesolan tyranny, rose up again¹⁵.

During the "Gothic" period the seeds of the Florentine *romanitas* remained hidden but maintained their regenerative potentialities. Following the death of Totila and during a period of wars against the neighbouring enemy, Florence was founded again by Charlemagne, who rebuilt it with a plan according to which sacred buildings recreated the toponymy of Cesar's city¹⁶. To complete this re-foundation, however, the destruction of Fiesole was necessary. In 1010 Florence conquered its

⁷ Id. I, II-III, V-XI: 5-7, 8-17.

⁸ Id. I, XIV, XXII-XXVI: 20-21, 32-42.

⁹ Id. I, XXX-XXXI: 47-49.

¹⁰ Id. I, XXXII-XXXIV: 49-53.

¹¹ Id. I, XXXV: 53-54.

¹² Id. I, XXXVI-XXXVII: 55-57.

¹³ Id. II, I: 59-62. See also II, III-V: 64-68.

¹⁴ Id. III, I-II: 95-99.

¹⁵ In Villani's sources is a probable permanency of the Greek-Gothic war's memory, maybe in the version of the Byzantine historian Procopius of Caesarea. See Benvenuti, A. (1995). 'Il *Bellum Fesulanum* e il mito delle origini fiorentine.' In *Un archivio, una diocesi. Fiesole nel Medioevo e nell'età moderna*. Firenze: 28-29.

¹⁶ Villani. IV, I-III: 143-52.

archetypal and mythopoietical antagonist¹⁷; and then, in 1125, destroyed its rival for the last time¹⁸. In this way the descendants of Fiorino assimilated the Fiesolan heritage and made a synthesis of two different communities thereby providing the ground for the future greatness of the Arno city¹⁹. After having destroyed the city, the victors accepted Fiesolan citizens inside their walls. In the vision that Villani offered Florence acquired from the Dardanian Fiesole its unrefined warlike courage and ancient bravery. Florence, however, overcame the “barbarian” qualities of the Fiesolan people thanks to its direct filiation from Rome.

So the city of the Lily, daughter of the *Urbs*, was founded twice: the first time by Caesar, the first Roman (still republican) emperor, and the second time by Charlemagne, the Roman Christian *princeps*. The second builder confirmed the noblesse of Florence’s origins and laid the basis for its subsequent glory. The Carolingian foundation of the town, which was not reported in the previous Florentine chronicle tradition, and thus was probably inserted by Villani, must be attributed to the universal and therefore imperial tendency of Florence²⁰. The alliance of the city with France, the image of Charlemagne as a prefiguration of the dukes of Anjou (as protectors of the city), the political events connected with the arrival of Charles of Valois to Florence (1301), and the Guelph ideology of the chronicler, must surely be linked up with the reference to the Frankish sovereign as the re-builder of the city. Nevertheless, Charlemagne was considered in Villani’s mythopoiesis for Florence more as an emperor and a neo-roman founder than as a precursor of the French *signori*²¹.

The conferment of political institutions to the city by the Christian emperor (even if – for Villani – an emperor of “French” and not German tradition) at the moment of its new foundation was very important in legitimating local communal rule. The acknowledgement of universal authorities (i.e. Empire and Papacy) was one of the main factors in constituting Villani’s version of the myth of Florence.

The local chronicle tradition influenced Villani’s work. In tracing his line of aetiological development and presenting his mythopoietic narration we find that Villani (like the probably later Florentine chronicler Ricordano Malispini) was doing little more than restating what he had discovered in his sources concerning the origins of Fiesole and Florence.

The most important source was a text called the *Cronica de origine civitatis*, (that Villani probably knew in a vernacular version), which was a sort of compilation of popular legends, mythological traditions and historical events, written at the beginning of the thirteenth century by a second-rate writer who freely incorporated material from classical and medieval authors. Villani, however, also read the *Libro Fiesolano*, an Italian interpretation of the aforementioned narrative, and the thirteenth-century *Gesta florentinorum* by Senzanome²². In these accounts of events, which adumbrated some of the cherished beliefs of Florentines about their origins, Villani found much of his information and gave it a renewed and finalised expression.

¹⁷ Id. V, VI: 171-73.

¹⁸ Id. V, XXXII: 216-17.

¹⁹ Id. V, VII: 173-74.

²⁰ But a tendency present in many chronicles concerning communal cities of Northern Italy.

²¹ The imperial favour towards Florence was extended by the chronicler to Otto I, who granted a *comitatus* to the city (Villani. V, I: 161).

²² By this way Villani made a re-lecture of Livy, and inherited the legend of Troy and Rome based on the works of Dares the Phrygian, integrated with Virgil and Paul Orosius, the *Historia Romana* of Paul the Deacon and the famous commentary of Servius to Virgil; together with the legend of Catiline known in Florence from the half of the thirteenth century and drawn from Sallustius’ *Bellum Catilinarium*. He also read hagiographic texts and local annalist tradition (twelfth century) concerning the origins of Fiesole and Florence. On the sources of the *Cronica*, see Ragone, F. (1998). *Giovanni Villani e i suoi continuatori. La scrittura delle cronache a Firenze nel Trecento*. Roma: 16-18; Benvenuti, A. (1998). ‘Introduzione.’ In H. C. Peyer. *Città e santi patroni nell’Italia medievale*. 2nded. Firenze. (Italian translation of Peyer, *Stadt und Stadtpatron im Mittelalterlichen Italien*. Zürich. 1955): 16-17. About the important question concerning the relations between Villani and the Florentine chronicler Ricordano Malispini, see the bibliography mentioned in Benvenuti, A. (1995). ‘Secondo che raccontano le storie’: il mito delle origini cittadine nella Firenze comunale.’ In *Il senso della storia nella cultura medievale italiana (1100-1350)*. Pistoia: 210, n. 14; Maissen, Th. (1994). ‘Attila, Totila e Carlo Magno fra Dante, Villani, Boccaccio e Malispini. Per la genesi di due leggende erudite.’ *Archivio Storico Italiano* 152: 628-639; and in Ragone: 14-15.

Nevertheless, the great debt of the chronicler to the patrimony of ancient local narrative does not mean that he accepted it entirely or uncritically. In this regard we can mention his refusal to include the legend ascribing his fellow-citizens' factiousness to the influence of an ancient and famous simulacrum of Mars. The writer proposed, rather, that the merging of two incompatible peoples, the Florentines and the Fiesolans, caused dissension among Florentines themselves²³.

On the other hand, the apparent contradiction in exalting both the illustrious but contrary visions of Fiesolan and Florentine origins – a contradiction which in the *Cronica de origine civitatis* was partially due to a non-critical combination of two different municipal memorialistic heritages –, is solved by Villani in an organic revision of the tradition as a whole. The aetiology of Fiesole was a necessary antecedent to the foundational myth of Florence in the way that Florence was destined to replace its rival town.

Continuing with the examination of the chronicle, we find that the author also dedicated a large section to illustrating the aetiology of Tuscany's other great cities. This narration, which assumed an etymological form in the tradition of Isidore of Seville, is consequential and functional to the narration concerning Florence's origins²⁴. In this part of his text Villani used the technique of assonance: Pistoia, founded by the heirs of the tyrannous Catiline, acquired its name from a great mortality (*pistolentia*) which occurred on the site where the city was reputed to have been founded during the struggle between Romans and Catilinaries²⁵. Arezzo, the ancient Aurelia, praised for the ability of its ancient vase makers, took its name because it was destroyed and then ploughed (*arata*) by Totila²⁶. Pisa, ancient Alfea, was a city where the Romans collected taxes and incomes from navigators. They subsequently weighed these revenues on two balances (*pese*) which lent their name to the city's plural form, *Pisae*. Villani defines it as a prosperous but not a fortified city; thus, for him, it lacked an important element of urban identification²⁷.

Villani recognised Lucca, called Fridia in antiquity, as exceptional because it was the first city in *Tuscia* to convert to Christianity and to have a bishop. Consequently it was the Light (*Luce*) of Tuscany. It is curious, however, that, while mentioning these qualities of Lucca's etymology, the author used half of the paragraph dedicated to this city to tell how its bishop Frediano started a pilgrimage to the Florentine tomb of the martyr Miniato, where he performed a miracle²⁸.

Villani remembered Luni as an ancient and famous city, but it was condemned to be abandoned to its swampy shores²⁹. Perugia, the Tuscan city for ancient writers³⁰, acquired its name from its founder, the Roman consul *Persus*, and its beginning from a maniple of Roman soldiers banished as rebels³¹. Viterbo is seen as *Vita Erbo*, that is "life for ill people". It was a spa resort in which ancient Romans were cured³². Another centre inhabited by elder people was Orvieto, *Urbs Veterum*, due to the fact that it hosted ancient citizens who came from the *Urbs* in search of a healthier environment³³.

²³ Villani. II, v: 67-68; IV, I: 143-46; V, VII: 174.

²⁴ On the importance of etymology (especially in Villani) as a way to mythographic generations see Galletti, A. I. (1999). 'Mitografie della memoria urbana'. In *Storiografia e poesia nella cultura medievale*. Roma: 301, 307, 314-316.

²⁵ Villani. I, XXXII: 51.

²⁶ Id. II, x: 76.

²⁷ Id. II, XI: 76-77.

²⁸ Id. II, XII: 77-78.

²⁹ Id. II, XIII: 78-79.

³⁰ Zorzi, A. (1998). 'Le Toscane del Duecento.' In *Etruria, Tuscia, Toscana. L'identità di una regione attraverso i secoli*. II (Secoli V-XIV). Ed. G. Garzella. Pisa: 89-93, 105-108.

³¹ Villani. II, IX: 75-76.

³² Id. II, XIV: 79.

³³ Id. II, XV: 80.

Important centres of Etruscan tradition were Cortona, Chiusi, Populonia and Volterra. The chronicler recognised their indubitable ancient origin. He, however, presented them as decaying communities which had peaked in classical times but declined thereafter³⁴.

Villani's attitude toward Siena was entirely negative. First of all he defined it as a "quite new city", founded in the epoch of Charles Martel, when he organised a raid to Apulia to defend the Church against the Longobards. During the expedition, this sovereign of the *Franceschi* decided to leave elder people (*senes*), ill soldiers and other men who could not carry arms and who would have represented a weight in the raid in Siena. The famous name and motto of this Tuscan city, *Sena Vetus*, derived from the city's core of "marginalized" people. The concession of the diocese, which was a necessary condition for the transformation of a town into a *civitas*, came later, thanks to the mediation of a woman, an innkeeper from Siena, who had been kind to an important prelate³⁵.

Apart from his "new semantics" for individual cities, Villani devoted two paragraphs of the *Cronica's* second book to a narration of Tuscan history. According to Villani, *Tuscia* was an ancient country inhabited by a working people who modified their environment to make it more fertile and more suitable for colonisation. The region was considered the sacred land of incense (*tuscio*)³⁶ during the rule of the Etruscan king Procena (living in Chiusi). It was already rich and powerful when Rome was still in its infancy. One of its most typical and famous products, wine, was produced in its heartland. Even the Gauls relocated in their effort to obtain it. The real reason that they went to Rome was to plunder the grounds from which the precious and tasty drink came³⁷.

It is evident that, when describing the original characteristics of *Tuscia* and of its cities, Villani's interpretation is primarily focused on Florence³⁸. If Tuscany is defined as a noble and active land, it is because the ability of its inhabitants was destined, in time, to appear predominantly in the works of its eminent citizens, that is of Florentines.

In Villani's work, as in his sources, the aetiological myth of each city was influenced by historical contingencies and by alliances among Tuscan communes of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. In this sense his etymology of the place names which previously existed in the *Chronica de origine civitatis*, such as Fiesole, Florence, Lucca, Siena and Pistoia³⁹, should be seen parallelly to political events of that period. In fact, Lucca was an ally of Florence against Siena, Pisa and Pistoia in the battle of Castel del Bosco of 1222 which marked the beginning of Florence's supremacy in Tuscany. Despite the influence of the powerful Lords of Northern Italy and Pisa, in the first half of the fourteenth century Florence wished to dominate Lucca and to maintain it. Consequently the city's name received a flattering etymology in the *Chronica de origine civitatis* and, subsequently, in Villani's text. Undoubtedly much less honourable were the origins of Siena and Pisa, the Ghibelline centres which had been long-time enemies of Florence.

If, however, Villani accepted these political contingencies, he reinterpreted them in order to exalt Florence. In fact, thanks to its distinct beginnings, the Arno's city became greater than any other city in *Tuscia* because only Florence was a child of Rome. Recounting in a new version the *historie* of its origins, Villani created a municipal mythography, a genetic nucleus of belongings and self-consciousness. For Florentine people this nucleus became a common codex of collective identity, the actualisation of a past genetically active in the interpretation of the present, and intended as an aetiological patrimony of the urban community as a whole.

³⁴ Id. II, XIII: 78; II, XVI-XVIII: 80-81. About the decadence of Populonia and of the other ancient cities of the Tyrrhenian coast, Villani wrote: "tutte le cose del mondo hanno mutazione, e vegnono e verranno meno". It is a possible echo of Rutilii Namatiani *De reditu suo*. ed. A. Fo. Torino. 1992: bk. I, vv. 399-414: "Proxima securum reserat Populonia litus [...] Non indignemur mortalia corpora solvi: cernimus exemplis oppida posse mori".

³⁵ Villani. II, XIX: 81-82.

³⁶ "Toscana ebbe nome il paese e provincia, però che vi furono i primi sacrificatori a l'Idii con fummo d'uncenso, detto tuscio" (Id. I, XXIII: 35).

³⁷ Id. II, VI and VII: 69-74.

³⁸ Compare the very different etymologies of the same Tuscan cities in a Bolognese writer contemporary with Villani, Armannino, active in Fabriano (Marche) and author of the narration known as the *Fiorita* (Galletti, 'Mitografie: 318-320).

³⁹ *Chronica de origine civitatis*. In *Quellen und Forschungen zur ältesten Geschichte der Stadt Florenz*. Ed. O. Hartwig. Marburg. 1875: 61-62, 64.

Villani rewrote municipal memory in order to recall a past which was a consequence of the present: a symbolic anticipation of contemporary events. He gave no importance to the veracity of these popular narratives and mythical etymologies. He aimed at illustrating the “truth” which justified their elaboration, that is, the need to anchor to the founder and authoritative antiquities the glorious evidence of his rising city. In the same way, the corpus of legends which Villani found in his sources, in the *Cronica* became a providential instrument of cultural “discipline” in service of the Guelph Party and Florentine Popular rule.

It must, however, be stated that for Villani the *civitates* of Tuscany were not founded with the purpose of being subjected by his prosperous native city. Villani’s *Cronica* did not yet express an ideology of the territorial state. On the contrary, the necessary efforts to acquire control of the minor centres in the city’s neighbourhoods and to improve the *comitatus* subject to Florence required financial obligations which, as a merchant, he judged unfruitful. In Villani there was, thus, a mixture of universality and municipal closure. The expansive geographical dimensions of his *Cronica*, and his wish to insert the Florentine events among those of Europe as a whole, reflect the fact that in the fourteenth century Florentine merchants were interested in the whole continent, and that the story of Europe was, for the writer, the story of Florence too⁴⁰.

Nevertheless Villani’s *Cronica* expressed his wish to maintain the political *status quo*. The greatness of Florence was due to its history as a city-state and to its guild-based form of government, with the dominant position held by the merchant-class to which the chronicler belonged and to which he spoke in the vernacular.

Contemporary Florence seemed to Villani to have accomplished all of its potential, in its identification with its mother Rome. Precisely because of this he could start to recount its story by writing a chronicle of its past and present. According to Villani, the main Tuscan republic should not invest too much in military expenses, in order that it could continue its excellence in Italian and European trade. In the conservative opinion of the merchant-chronicler, the municipal dimension was the foundation and the limit of Florentine magnificence. In its economic prosperity, which he described by indicating the large number of shops and craftsmen’s workshops in another part of his work⁴¹, Villani saw the individual and original characteristics of Florence’s destiny, prefigured by the defeat and assimilation of Fiesole.

This destiny, in a perfect synthesis, was the goal of a long and important evolution which made his city the first Italic daughter of Rome, a foundation of the Christian and Universal Empire, the most faithful ally of the Papacy and the Church, the communal symbol of the Guelph alliance, and a great economic centre in medieval Europe.

Bibliography

Primary sources

Giovanni Villani (ca. 1276-1348). *Nuova Cronica*. Ed. G. Porta. 3 vols. Parma. 1991.

Cronica de quibusdam gestis. Ed. in A. Del Monte (1950). ‘La storiografia fiorentina dei secoli XII e XIII’. *Bullettino dell’Istituto Storico Italiano per il Medio Evo e Archivio Muratoriano* 62: 265-282.

Chronica de origine civitatis. In *Quellen und Forschungen zur ältesten Geschichte der Stadt Florenz*. Ed. O. Hartwig. Marburg. 1875: 37-65.

Chronica de origine civitatis. Ed. A. M. Cesari (1993). *Atti e Memorie dell’Accademia Toscana di Scienze e Lettere La Colombaria* n. s. 44: 187-253.

Senzanomis Gesta Florentinorum. In *Quellen und Forschungen zur ältesten Geschichte der Stadt Florenz*. Ed. O. Hartwig. Marburg. 1875: 1-34.

Ricordano Malispini (sec. XIV). *Storia Fiorentina*. Ed. V. Follini. Firenze 1816. Rpt. Roma. 1976.

Rutilii Namatiani (sec. V) *De reditu suo*. Ed. A. Fo. Torino. 1992.

⁴⁰ Florence, for Villani, was similar to “adornato albore fronzuto e fiorito dilatante li rami suoi infino a li termini del mondo” (Villani. XII, III: 35).

⁴¹ Id. XII, XCIV: 197-202.

Secondary literature

- Aquilecchia, G. (1979). 'Introduzione.' In Giovanni Villani. *Cronica. Con le continuazioni di Matteo e Filippo*. Torino: VII-XXXIV.
- Arnaldi, G. (1992). 'Annali, cronache, storie.' In *Lo spazio letterario del Medioevo*. I. *Il Medioevo latino*. Edd. G. Cavallo, C. Leonardi, E. Menestò. 2. *La produzione del testo*. Roma: 463-513.
- Benvenuti, A. (1995). 'Da San Salvatore a Santa Maria del Fiore. Itinerario di una cattedrale.' *Studi Medievali* 3rd s 36: 111-150.
- (1995). 'Il Bellum Fesulanum e il mito delle origini fiorentine.' In *Un archivio, una diocesi. Fiesole nel Medioevo e nell'età moderna*. Firenze: 23-39.
- (1995). "Secondo che raccontano le storie": il mito delle origini cittadine nella Firenze comunale.' In *Il senso della storia nella cultura medievale italiana (1100-1350)*. Pistoia: 205-252.
- (1998). 'Introduzione.' In H. C. Peyer. *Città e santi patroni nell'Italia medievale*. 2nd ed. Firenze. (Italian translation of Peyer, *Stadt und Stadtpatron im Mittelalterlichen Italien*. Zürich. 1955): 7-37.
- Billanovich, G. (1992). 'Gli storici classici latini e le cronache italiane del Due e Trecento.' In *La storiografia umanistica*. Edd. A. Di Stefano, G. Faraone, P. Megna, A. Tramontana. Messina. I: 39-58.
- Bordone, R. (1991). 'Il passato storico come tempo mitico nel mondo cittadino italiano del medioevo.' *Società e storia* 14: 1-22; and in Id. (1997). *Memorie del tempo e comportamento cittadino nel medioevo italiano*. Torino.
- Bruni, F. (1990). 'Identità culturale e mito delle origini: Firenze nella "Cronica" di Giovanni Villani.' In *Storia della Civiltà Letteraria Italiana*. Ed. G. Barberi Squarotti. I/2. *Dalle origini al Trecento*. Torino: 716-728.
- Castellani, A. (1988). 'Sulla tradizione manoscritta della "Nuova Cronica" di Giovanni Villani.' *Medioevo e Rinascimento*. 2: 53-118.
- Cochrane, E. (1981). *Historians and Historiography in the Italian Renaissance*. Chicago/London.
- Davidsohn, R. (1896-1927) *Geschichte von Florenz*. Berlin.
- Davis, C. T. (1968). 'Il buon tempo antico.' In *Florentine Studies. Politics and Society in Renaissance Florence*. Ed. N. Rubinstein. London: 45-69.
- (1969). 'The Malispini Question.' *Studi Medievali* 3rd s 10: 215-254.
- (1988). 'Topographical and Historical Propaganda in Early Florentine Chronicles and in Villani.' *Medioevo e Rinascimento* 2: 33-51.
- Frugoni, C. (1983). *Una lontana città. Sentimenti e immagini nel Medioevo*. Torino (Engl. Transl. 1991. Princeton N. J.).
- Galletti, A. I. (1999). 'Mitografie della memoria urbana'. In *Storiografia e poesia nella cultura medioevale*. Roma: 299-324.
- Gatti, L. (1995). 'Il mito di Marte a Firenze e la "pietra scema". Memorie, riti e ascendenze.' *Rinascimento* 35: 201-230.
- Graus, F. (1975). *Lebendige Vergangenheit. Überlieferung im Mittelalter und in den Vorstellungen vom Mittelalter*. Köln/Wien.
- Green, L. (1967). 'Historical Interpretation in Fourteenth-Century Chronicles.' *Journal of the History of Ideas* 28: 161-178.
- (1972). *Chronicle into History. An Essay on the Interpretation of History in Florentine Fourteenth-Century Chronicles*. Cambridge.
- Guenée, B. (1980). *Histoire et culture historique dans l'Occident médiéval*. Paris.
- Hyde, J. K. (1993). *Literacy and Its Uses. Studies on Late Medieval Italy*. Ed. D. Waley. Manchester/New York.
- Klein, F. (1980). 'Considerazioni sull'ideologia della città di Firenze tra Trecento e Quattrocento (Giovanni Villani - Leonardo Bruni).' *Ricerche storiche* 10: 311-336.
- Lavin, I. (1999). *Santa Maria del Fiore. Il Duomo di Firenze e la Vergine incinta*. Roma.
- Le Goff, J. (1982). 'L'immaginario urbano nell'Italia medievale (secoli V-XV)'. In *Storia d'Italia, Annali*, V. Torino: 5-43.

- Luzzati, M. (1969). 'Ricerche sulle attività mercantili e sul fallimento di Giovanni Villani.' *Bullettino dell'Istituto Storico Italiano per il Medio Evo e Archivio Muratoriano* 81: 173-235.
- Maissen, Th. (1994). 'Attila, Totila e Carlo Magno fra Dante, Villani, Boccaccio e Malispini. Per la genesi di due leggende erudite.' *Archivio Storico Italiano* 152: 561-639.
- Mantini, S. (1995). 'Un recinto di identificazione: le mura sacre della città. Riflessioni su Firenze dall'età classica al Medioevo.' *Archivio Storico Italiano* 153: 211-261.
- Mariani, M. (1958). 'La favola di Roma nell'ambiente fiorentino dei secoli XIII-XV'. *Archivio della Società Romana di Storia Patria* 91: 1-54.
- Mehl, E. (1927). *Die Weltanschauung des Giovanni Villani. Ein Beitrag zur Geistesgeschichte Italiens im Zeitalter Dantes*. Leipzig/Berlin.
- Mito (II) di Firenze. (1996). Edd. L. Sebregondi, R. M. Zaccaria, Intr. P. Viti. Roma.
- Morghen, R. (1958). 'La storiografia fiorentina del Trecento: Ricordano Malispini, Dino Compagni e Giovanni Villani.' In *Secoli vari ('300-'400-'500)*. Firenze: 69-93; and in Id. (1971). *Civiltà medievale al tramonto*. Roma/Bari: 83-105.
- Porta, G. (1988). 'La storiografia fiorentina fra il Duecento e il Trecento.' *Medioevo e Rinascimento*. 2: 119-130.
- (1989). 'Giovanni Villani storico e scrittore.' In *I racconti di Clio. Tecniche narrative della storiografia*. Pisa: 147-156.
- (1995). 'La costruzione della storia in Giovanni Villani.' In *Il senso della storia nella cultura medievale italiana (1100-1350)*. Pistoia: 125-138.
- Ragone, F. (1991). 'Le scritture parlate. Qualche ipotesi sulla redazione delle cronache volgari nel Trecento dopo l'edizione critica della "Nuova Cronica" di Giovanni Villani.' *Archivio Storico Italiano*. 149: 783-810.
- (1998). *Giovanni Villani e i suoi continuatori. La scrittura delle cronache a Firenze nel Trecento*. Roma.
- Raveggi, S. (1987). 'Tracce caroline a Firenze.' In *Sulle orme di Orlando. Leggende e luoghi carolingi in Italia. I paladini di Francia nelle tradizioni italiane, una proposta storico antropologica*. Edd. A. I. Galletti, R. Roda. Ferrara/Padova: 167-177.
- Rubinstein, N. (1942). 'The Beginnings of Political Thought in Florence. A Study in Medieval Historiography.' *Journal of the Warburg and the Courtauld Institutes* 5: 198-227.
- Von Den Brincken, A.-D. (1986). 'Inter spinas principum terrenorum. Annotazioni sulle summe e sui compendi storici dei Mendicanti.' In *Aspetti della letteratura latina nel secolo XIII*. Edd. C. Leonardi, G. Olandi. Perugia/Firenze: 77-103.
- Weinstein, D. (1968). 'The Myth of Florence.' In *Florentine Studies. Politics and Society in Renaissance Florence*. Ed. N. Rubinstein. London: 15-44.
- Wickham, Ch. (1992). 'The Sense of the Past in Italian Communal Narratives.' In *The Perception of the Past in Twelfth-Century Europe*. Ed. P. Magdalino. London/Rio Grande-Ohio: 173-189.
- Zorzi, A. (1998). 'Le Toscane del Duecento.' In *Etruria, Tuscia, Toscana. L'identità di una regione attraverso i secoli. II (Secoli V-XIV)*. Ed. G. Garzella. Pisa: 87-119.